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Abstract. Let $G = \text{PSp}_4(q)$, $q = p^h$ odd. We show that the geometry of root subgroups of $G$ is the tangent envelope of a system of conics that comprise the $(q,q)$-generalized quadrangle associated with $G$. The flags of this geometry form a rank 3 chamber complex in the sense of Tits [9], as one would expect from the theory of symmetric spaces for Lie groups. By way of application, we give an intrinsic interpretation of symplectic 2-transvections. We then show that the subgroup generated by a pair of short-root subgroups not contained in a $p$-Sylow is determined by the geometry. In particular, we describe the incidence conditions under which such pairs are contained in the maximal subgroups of $G$ corresponding to the plus-point and minus-point stabilizers in the orthogonal construction of $G$ ([2], xii).
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1 Introduction

The significance of root subgroups in the study of groups of Lie type derives from two complementary interpretations: as groups of transvections on some natural module (extrinsic), and as points of some incidence geometry related to the lattice of maximal parabolic subgroups (intrinsic). There are natural comparisons with the theory of symmetric spaces for Lie groups. Let $G = \text{PSp}_4(q)$, $q$ odd. We construct an incidence geometry $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ whose points are the root subgroups of $G$: long, short and virtual (defined in Section 2). Unlike the constructions in [6] and [8], no member of $\mathcal{L}$ consists entirely of long-root subgroups. Rather, $\mathcal{G}$ is generated by a collection of lines that can be viewed as the “tangent bundle” of the $(q,q)$-generalized quadrangle for $G$ when this quadrangle is represented as a system of conics whose points are the long-root subgroups. It will then be easy to show that the flag complex of $\mathcal{G}$ is a rank 3 chamber complex.

In Section 3 we use $\mathcal{G}$ to construct the subgroups of index 2 in the centralizers of involutions of class $2A$ and $2C$. In Atlas notation $2A$ is central in a 2-Sylow of $G$ and $C_G(2A) \cong 2.L_2(q) \times L_2(q) : 2$, whereas $2C$ is an outer involution and $C_G(2C) \cong L_2(q^2) : 2_2$. In orthogonal terminology these centralizers are the plus-point and minus-point stabilizers, respectively, and both are maximal subgroups of $G$. 
2 The tangent bundle and chamber complex

Let $Z = Z(O_P(P))$, where the maximal parabolic subgroup $P$ is the stabilizer of a maximal isotropic subspace of the natural module. Since $Z$ is elementary abelian of order $q^3$ we will identify it with the vector space $V$ of $2 \times 2$ symmetric matrices over $K = \text{GF}(q)$. The points of $Z$ that belong to $Z$ are the 1-dimensional subspaces of $V$, classified as follows: Subspaces consisting of singular matrices are long-root subgroups, those which contain a matrix with determinant $-1$ are short-root subgroups, and the remainder we call virtual root subgroups. Thus, as projective matrices the $q+1$ long-root subgroups in $Z$ are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u^2 & u \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u \in K,$$

the $\binom{q+1}{2}$ short-root subgroups are

$$\begin{bmatrix} u & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u^2 - \lambda^2 & u \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u \in K, \quad \lambda \in K^\#,$$

and the $\binom{q}{2}$ virtual root subgroups are

$$\begin{bmatrix} u^2 - \varepsilon & u \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u \in K, \quad \varepsilon \notin K^2.$$

The above description is consistent with the representation $Z = \langle X_\beta, X_{2+\beta}, X_{2x+\beta} \rangle$ in terms of Chevalley generators, where $X_\gamma$ is a root subgroup relative to a chosen split torus such that $\alpha$ is the fundamental short-root and $\beta$ is the fundamental long root. The homogeneous triple $[\delta, u, v]$, where $\delta = 0$ or 1, represents the subgroup

$$\{ X_\beta(\delta t)X_{2+\beta}(ut)X_{2x+\beta}(vt) \mid t \in K \}.$$

When this triple is identified with the corresponding projective matrix $M$ in the obvious way the subgroup may be represented on a symplectic 4-space by the matrices

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_2 & M \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which are identified with their negatives. The above representation presumes the canonical basis $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)$ with symplectic structure given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_2 \\ -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
whereby the torus element $h(\zeta, \eta)$ is represented by
\[
\text{diag}(\zeta, \eta, \zeta^{-1}, \eta^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad n_z h(\zeta, \eta)n_z = h(\eta, \zeta)
\]
See [1], §11.3.

We define the point set $\mathcal{P}$ for $\mathcal{D}$ to be the conjugates in $G$ of the root subgroups described above. It is readily shown that $G$ is transitive on the virtual root subgroups, as well. Thus $\mathcal{P}$ is partitioned into three orbits $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2$ and $\mathcal{P}_3$. Let $\Pi_Z$ denote the collection of root subgroups of $Z$. It follows that $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \Pi_Z$ is a conic $Q$ in $\text{PG}(2, q)$. The geometry whose point set is $\mathcal{P}_1$ and whose line set consists of all $Q$ afforded by the conjugates of $Z$ is $\mathcal{Z}$, the $(q, q)$-generalized quadrangle for $G$. Let $Q(x, y)$ be the conic determined by adjacent points $x, y$ in $\mathcal{Z}$. Below we write $\Pi_Q$ instead of $\Pi_Z$, and refer to $\Pi_Q$ as the focal plane on $Q$.

To construct the line set $\mathcal{L}$ for $\mathcal{D}$ note that $\mathcal{P}_1 \cap \Pi_Q$ consists of the non-absolutes points (relative to the polarity induced by $Q$) in the envelope of tangents to $Q$. Let $\mathcal{L}_0$ be the orbit of the tangent lines under conjugation by $G$. For $x \in \mathcal{P}_1$, let $\mathcal{L}_0(x)$ be the collection of tangent lines on $x$ and let $\Pi_x$ be the set of points in $\mathcal{L}_0(x)$. We give $\Pi_x$ the structure of $\text{PG}(2, q)$ as follows. Since the conjugates of $Z$ partition the short-root subgroups of $G$ there are exactly two members of $\mathcal{L}_0$ on each $y \in \mathcal{P}_2$. Let $\mathcal{P}_1(y)$ denote the two points in $\Pi_y$ on the tangents through $y$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in \Pi_x \cap \mathcal{P}_3$ and suppose no member of $\mathcal{L}_0$ contains both $y_1$ and $y_2$. Then the group $H$ generated by $\mathcal{P}_1(y_1) \cup \mathcal{P}_1(y_2) \setminus \{x\}$ contains $q + 1$ long-root subgroups $z$, each of which is adjacent to $x$ in $\mathcal{Z}$. Let $L_x(z)$ be the member of $\mathcal{L}_0(z)$ that is tangent to $Q(x, z)$ and define the line on $y_1$ and $y_2$ by $y_1 y_2 = \Pi_x \cap (\bigcup_{z \in H} L_x(z))$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_1$ the collection of all lines so constructed. Thus $|\mathcal{L}_1| = q^2(q + 1)(q^2 + 1)$. If $L \in \mathcal{L}_1$ then the stabilizer of $L$ in $G$ has order $\frac{1}{2} q^2(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)$ and so $G$ is transitive on $\mathcal{L}_1$. We call the incidence system $(\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{L}_0 \cup \mathcal{L}_1)$ the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{D}$ and refer to $\Pi_x$ as the tangent plane to $\mathcal{D}$ at $x$.

The maximal flags of the tangent bundle are of type $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{L}_0, \Pi_x)$, $(\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{L}_0, \Pi_x)$ or $(\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{L}_1, \Pi_x)$ and so the corresponding flag complex is not connected when adjacency of two maximal flags is defined by sharing a flag of rank 2. To remedy this situation we extend the line set to include the flags of the focal planes. The polar of $x \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \Pi_Q$ is the secant line determined by $\mathcal{P}_1(x)$. The polar of $x \in \mathcal{P}_1 \cap \Pi_Q$ is a line exterior to $Q$. It is readily shown that $G$ is transitive on both sets of lines. Let $\mathcal{L}_2$ and $\mathcal{L}_3$ be the orbits of all secant and exterior lines, respectively, and let $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{i=0}^3 \mathcal{L}_i$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_1$ are adjacent in $\mathcal{D}$ we denote the member of $\mathcal{L}$ that they determine by $x y$. The flag complex of $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is residually connected and hence is a rank 3 chamber complex. In particular, the geometry whose points are the tangent planes and whose lines are the focal planes with two planes incident if they intersect in a line (necessarily a tangent) is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Z}$ via $\Pi_Q \rightarrow Q, \Pi_x \mapsto x$.

We conclude this section with a theorem that describes the correspondence between $\mathcal{D}$ and the action of root elements in $G$ on a symplectic module. Recall that the long-root subgroups and short-root subgroups of $G$ are 1-parameter groups of transvections and 2-transvections, respectively. Specifically, the above matrices for the long-root subgroups in $Z$ show that the transvections $\{v \mapsto v + t(e, v)e \mid t \in K\}$ cor-
respond to the subgroup $[0,0,1]$ or $[1,u,u^2]$ where $e = e_1$ or $ue_1 + e_2$, respectively, and $(e,v)$ is the symplectic inner product. If $z \in \mathcal{P}_s \cap \Pi_Q$ is represented by $[0,1,u]$ then its polar is the secant line with homogeneous coordinates $[u,-2,0]$ and so $\mathcal{P}_l(z) = \left\{ [0,0,1], \left[ \frac{u}{2}, \frac{u}{4} \right] \right\}$. It is readily verified that the transformations in the root subgroup $z$ are the 2-transvections determined by $e = e_1$ and $f = \frac{u}{2} e_1 + e_2$. Similarly, if $z = [1,u,u^2-\lambda^2]$ then its polar is $[u^2-\lambda^2,-2u,1]$, whereby $\mathcal{P}_l(z) = \left\{ [1,u \pm \lambda,(u \pm \lambda)^2] \right\}$ and the 2-transvections in $z$ are determined by $e = (u+\lambda)e_1 + e_2$, $f = (u-\lambda)e_1 + e_2$.

Finally, let $\hat{Q}$ be the extension of $Q$ over $GF(q^2)$. If $z \in \mathcal{P}_s \cap \Pi_Q$ then the extension of the polar of $z$ over $GF(q^2)$ intersects $\hat{Q}$ in the two points $[1,u \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon},(u \pm \sqrt{\varepsilon})^2]$ of $\text{PG}(2,q^2)$, whereas the transformations in $z$ are of the form $v \mapsto v + t[(e,v)f + (f,v)e]$ with $e = (u + \sqrt{\varepsilon})e_1 + e_2$, $f = (u - \sqrt{\varepsilon})e_1 + e_2$. This proves the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_l$ be adjacent as points of $\mathcal{P}$ and let $Q = Q(x,y)$. If $x$ and $y$ are afforded respectively as groups of transvections by the orthogonal 1-spaces $\left\langle e \right\rangle$ and $\left\langle f \right\rangle$ then the short-root subgroup $L_x(y) \cap L_y(x)$ is the group of 2-transvections $\{v \mapsto v + t[(e,v)f + (f,v)e] \mid t \in K\}$. Further, if $z \in \mathcal{P}_s \cap \Pi_Q$, $\hat{L}$ is the extension of the polar of $z$ over $GF(q^2)$ and $\hat{Q}$ is the extension of $Q$, then the virtual root subgroup $z$ is the group of 2-transvections determined by the orthogonal 1-spaces corresponding to $\hat{L} \cap \hat{Q}$ as $t$ varies over $K$.

### 3 Plus-point and minus-point stabilizers

In this section we determine the subgroup generated by a pair of short-root groups not contained in a common parabolic. Aspects of this determination have been addressed in [5] and [7] for $\text{PSp}_{2n}(q)$, $n \geq 2$, but here we show directly that such a pair generates the subgroup of index 2 in either the centralizer of involution $2A$ or $2C$, using incidence relations in $G$ to distinguish the cases. These centralizers are the plus-point and minus-point stabilizers, respectively, in the orthogonal construction of $G$. We represent the symmetric form that affords the quadratic form of index 2 by the matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We will not require an explicit representation for the form of index 1.

With $P$ and $Q$ as in Section 2, let $P^-$ be a parabolic opposite $P$ and $Q^-$ the corresponding opposite conic in $\mathcal{P}$. Given $x \in \mathcal{P}_s \cap \Pi_Q$, each point in $\mathcal{P}_l(x)$ is adjacent in $\mathcal{P}$ to a unique point of $Q^-$. These two points of $Q^-$ comprise $\mathcal{P}_l(x^-)$ for some $x^- \in \mathcal{P}_s \cap \Pi_{Q^-}$, which we call the opposite of $x$ in $\Pi_{Q^-}$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $\Pi_Q$ and $\Pi_{Q^-}$ be opposite focal planes of $G$ with $x \in \mathcal{P}_s \cap \Pi_Q$ and
\(x^-\) its opposite in \(\Pi_Q\). Let \(y \in \mathcal{R}_s \cap \Pi_Q\) be distinct from \(x\). Then, as a subgroup of \(G\), \(\langle x^-, y \rangle\) is contained in a conjugate of \(P\) if \(xy \in \mathcal{L}_0\), whereas \(\langle x^-, y \rangle < C_G(2A)\) if \(xy \in \mathcal{L}_2\) and \(\langle x^-, y \rangle < C_G(2C)\) if \(xy \in \mathcal{L}_3\).

**Proof.** It is straightforward to classify the pairs \(\{y, z\}\) with \(y \in \mathcal{R}_s \cap \Pi_Q\) and \(z \in \mathcal{R}_s \cap \Pi_Q\). In fact, since \(P \cap P^-\) is transitive on \(\mathcal{R}_s \cap \Pi_Q\) it suffices to fix \(z = X_{x^-}\) and to describe the orbits of pairs as subsets of \(\mathcal{R}_s \cap \Pi_Q\). We thus obtain the following \(\frac{1}{2}(q + 3)\) orbits where \(\lambda, \mu \in K^#\), \(\mu^2 \neq 1\) and the number following the semicolon is the length of the orbit.

\[
O_A = \{[0, 1, 0]\}; 1 \\
O_B = \{[0, 1, \lambda], [1, \lambda, 0]\}; 2(q - 1) \\
O_C = \{[1, 0, \lambda^2]\}; \frac{1}{2}(q - 1) \\
O_\mu = \{[1, \lambda, \lambda^2(1 - \mu^2)]\}; q - 1.
\]

Now assume \(z = x^-\) so that its opposite in \(\Pi_Q\) is \(x = X_{x^-}\). It follows that \(\langle x^-, y \rangle\) is contained in the stabilizer of \(Q(X_{2x^-}, X_{x^-})\) if \(y \in O_B\), in which case \(xy\) is tangent to \(Q\). If \(y \in O_C\) then \(xy\) is a secant line provided \(-1 \in K^2\), whereas \(xy\) is exterior to \(Q\) otherwise. Take \(\lambda = 1\) and let \(i = \sqrt{-1}\). Then the involution \(\tau = h(i, -i)n_2\) centralizes \(\langle x^-, y \rangle\). If \(y \in O_\mu\) for some \(\mu\) then \(xy\) is a secant provided \(1 - \mu^2 \in K^2\) and an exterior line otherwise. Take \(\lambda = 1\) and let \(j_\mu = \sqrt{1 - \mu^2}\). Then the involution \(\tau_\mu = h(j_\mu^{-1}, j_\mu)n_2\) centralizes \(\langle x^-, y \rangle\). Thus \(\tau\) and \(\tau_\mu\) are of class \(2A\) when \(xy\) is a secant, and of class \(2C\) when \(xy\) is an exterior line.

**Corollary 3.2.** If \(q \neq 3\), the subgroup of \(G\) generated by a pair of short-root subgroups not contained in a common parabolic is isomorphic to either \(L_2(q^2)\) or \(2.L_2(q) \times L_2(q)\).

**Proof.** If \(q = 3\) there is no orbit of type \(O_\mu\), so assume \(y \in O_C\). Then there is the possibility by Dickson's theorem ([3], page 44) that \(\langle x^-, y \rangle \simeq L_2(5)\). That this is the case follows by setting \(a = X_{x^-}(1)X_{2x^-}(1), b = X_{x^-}(1)\) and \(c = babab\). Then \(c^2 = b^3 = (cb)^5 = 1\), whereas \(a = [b, c]b\). Now suppose \(q \neq 3\) and consider the products \(X_{x^-}(t)X_{x^-}(u)X_{2x^-}(u)X_{2x^-}(j_\mu u)\) and \(X_{x^-}(t)X_{x^-}(u)X_{2x^-}(u)(-u)\). Direct computation using the representation in Section 2 easily demonstrates that the square of such products is never diagonal for non-zero values of \(t\) and \(u\). Thus when \(xy\) is an exterior line it follows that \(\langle x^-, y \rangle \simeq L_2(q^2)\) since the conditions of Dickson's theorem are subsumed. When \(xy\) is a secant line \(\langle x^-, y \rangle\) is seen to be all of \(2.L_2(q) \times L_2(q)\) as follows. Let 

\[
J_-(i, t) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-it & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-it & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
t^2 & it & it & 1
\end{pmatrix}, \quad J_-(j_\mu t, t) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-j_\mu t & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
j_\mu t & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
t^2 & -j_\mu^{-1} t & j_\mu t & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and

\[
J_+(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -t & -t & -t^2 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & t \\
0 & 0 & 1 & t \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then as \( t \) varies over \( K \) either the \( J_-(i, t) \) or the \( J_-(j_\mu, t) \) together with the \( J_+(t) \) generate the group of matrices \( J \) such that \( J^TBJ = B \) where \( J^T \) is the transpose of \( J \).

Let \( y \in O_C \) be represented by \([1, 0, 1]\). Then the map \( J_-(i, t) \mapsto X_{\gamma - \mu}(t), J_+(t) \mapsto X_\beta(t)X_{2\gamma + \beta}(t) \) induces a homomorphism onto \( \langle x^-, y \rangle \) with kernel \( \pm I \). In case \( y \in O_\mu \) we represent \( y \) by \([1, j_\mu^{-1}, 1]\). Then the corresponding homomorphism onto \( \langle x^-, y \rangle \) is \( J_-(j_\mu, t) \mapsto X_{\gamma - \mu}(t), (J_-(j_\mu, t))^TJ_+(t) \mapsto X_\beta(t)X_{2\gamma + \beta}(j_\mu^{-1}t)X_{2\gamma + \beta}(t) \).

**Remark 3.3.** Even though the number of orbits of pairs of short-root subgroups not contained in a common parabolic is a function of the field, the corollary shows that the subgroup generated by such a pair \( \{x, y\} \) is determined by the relation between \( x \) and \( y \) as points of \( G \). See Figure 1.
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